Housekeeping

- All participants will be placed in “listen-only” mode when joining the webinar. You will be connected to audio using your computer’s microphone and speakers (VoIP). Or you may select Use Telephone after joining the Webinar: Make sure to enter your phone Audio PIN, shown in the webinar control panel, if you choose the option to join by telephone.

- You are encouraged to type in questions regarding today’s presentations at any time during the webinar by entering your question in the Question Box on the webinar console. Questions will be answered as time allows following all of today’s presentations.

- This webinar is being recorded and will be made available after the call at www.cleanenergystates.org/webinars. Previous webinar recordings are also posted.
Offshore Wind Accelerator Project

• OWAP Objective: Address key challenges facing offshore wind in five focus areas

• Work with individual States to assist with the development of strategic, long-term policies to advance offshore wind and develop a serious process to get to OSW scale in the U.S.

• Work on regional strategies with multiple states to increase opportunities for joint funding, networking and information sharing, joint procurement, supply chain and siting cooperation.

• Work on developing new finance tools and mechanisms, including buyers’ networks and joint aggregated purchases, to provide the needed capital to scale up the offshore wind industry.

• Continue to communicate of ideas and policy developments between states and other stakeholders through OWAP.

• Work with leading European and UK policy makers to learn about the more established experience with offshore wind in those countries, and import that knowledge to US energy policy makers.
Guest Speakers

• Mike Hay, Xodus Group
  mike.hay@xodusgroup.com

• Cathryn Hooper, Source Low Carbon
  cathryn.hooper@sourcelowcarbon.com
Please Submit Questions

Questions submitted from webinar participants will be addressed following the presentations.

Please type your questions in the webinar console’s question box and hit “send” at any time during the broadcast.
Stay connected to OWAP!

Lew Milford, CEG President  Val Stori, Project Director
lmilford@cleanegroup.org  val@cleanegroup.org

Find us online:

facebook.com/offshorewindworks

@OSWindWorks on Twitter

Visit our website to read more about OWAP and sign up for our e-newsletter:
http://www.cleanenergystates.org/projects/accelerating-offshore-wind-owap/
Offshore Wind: The start of the learning curve

Mike Hay, Senior Consultant
Two Business Streams – Oil & Gas and Low Carbon

Supported by Four Service Lines

Total Field Development
- Concept integration and selection
- Site selection
- Integrated multi discipline engineering teams for studies
- Environmental and consenting support

Integrated Projects
- Integrated multidisciplinary teams for FEED onwards
- Post consent and environmental support
- Project delivery support
- H&S support

Integrated Asset Support
- Technical Integrity assurance
- Reliability and maintainability
- Risk Based Inspection
- Operations and maintenance optimisation

Advisory
- Strategic studies and Feasibility assessments
- Due diligence
- LCoE modelling
- Training
- Audits
Global Presence
Offices, projects and key statistics

£62 million turnover.

Over 700 engineers and technical staff.

Over 500 clients, with more than 50 of those low carbon.

Over 2,500,000 man-hours dedicated to completing more than 5,500 projects.
Xodus Low Carbon Sectors

Our main sectors

- Offshore Wind
- Marine Energy
- Interconnectors
- Floating wind
- CCS
- Carbon Consultancy
Project Due Diligence Experience
Offshore Wind

> Offshore engineer on more than 10 offshore wind projects in UK, France, Germany, Holland and Belgium

> Q7/ Prinses Amalia (world’s 1st non-recourse pre-construction project finance of an offshore windfarm)
> Thanet
> Bligh Bank
> Thornton Bank (Phases 1 & 2)
> Baltic 1 & 2
> Greater Gabbard
> Cote d’Albatre

> Plus significant experience in oil & gas sector which is directly transferrable
Offshore Wind in the UK
Scaling up to bring costs down

2000 and 2003

- Round 1 and 2:
  - Demonstration and up-scaling
  - 60-1,200MW’s
  - Up to 30m water depth
  - Up to 20km from shore

2009

- Scottish Territorial Waters:
  - Regional Opportunity
  - 450-1,000MW’s
  - Up to 50m water depth
  - Up to 20km from shore

2010

- Round 3:
  - Industrialisation
  - 600-9,000MW’s
  - Up to 70m water depth
  - Up to 140km from shore
Offshore Wind in the UK
Scaling up to bring costs down
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A life less ordinary
A promising childhood but turbulent teenage years

Market Drivers:
- Rising Commodity prices
- Bottlenecks in supply chain
- Complexity of sites, distance, depth
- FX rate volatility

Market Shocks:
- Lower tier companies go bust
- The end of EPC Contracts
- Vestas V90 withdrawn from market
- ROCs increased from 1.5 to 2
- Round 3 Goes Live
- Sheringham Grouting Issues
- Alstom and Samsung test turbines
- CID levels go live

Market Enablers:
- CfD levels go live
### Cost Reduction: Technical Innovation

Emerging markets could leap-frog those 'stuck in the past'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development</th>
<th>Electrical</th>
<th>Foundations</th>
<th>Installation</th>
<th>Turbine</th>
<th>O&amp;M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New layouts</td>
<td>AC vs HVDC links</td>
<td>Monopiles</td>
<td>Jack-up barges + shuttles</td>
<td>Larger turbines</td>
<td>Port based vs mother ship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher voltage arrays</td>
<td>Gravity bases</td>
<td></td>
<td>Floating installation vessels</td>
<td>Blades</td>
<td>New vessels, transfer systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial vs loops</td>
<td>Jackets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drivetrain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buckets</td>
<td></td>
<td>Float out and sink</td>
<td></td>
<td>Condition monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Floating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Cost Reduction: Financial Innovation

Offshore Wind is still an education for banks and equity providers

- To date almost all construction financed on utility balance sheets, often supported by capital grants
- More recently alternative equity investors have been coming in both pre and post construction
- Since 2006 across Europe seven projects have raised pre-construction debt finance, six post-construction and one during construction
- Lender appetite is now strengthening, leveraged by risk transfer to the tax payer
- Projects need to understand their target investors and package their risks appropriately
Reducing the project risk profile is the key to attracting cheaper capital
This must happen across industry and markets, particularly in emerging markets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Project Risks</th>
<th>Current Profile Management</th>
<th>Future Opportunities for US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technology</strong></td>
<td>Turbine: Contract manufacturer with large balance sheet, strong track record and solid</td>
<td>Reallocate some construction risk from OEM to EPC contractors. Encourage major US companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>warrantee</td>
<td>to develop and test next generation machines for home market and export.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balance of Plant: Attract competent suppliers and drive standardised designs</td>
<td>Pick winners: Mass manufacture standardised designs. Develop, test and deploy floating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>plant to enable risks to be understood and managed appropriately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial</strong></td>
<td>Contract Structure: Based on standard construction contracts but developed project by</td>
<td>Standardise contracts and ensure clear allocation of risk, particularly for cables and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>project</td>
<td>cable installation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operating Costs: Put technology risk on OEM.</td>
<td>Negotiate with OEM and seek flexibility to see what wider market can offer, ensuring more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>competitive pricing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction</strong></td>
<td>Weather: Without availability of EPC wrap in current market, and with contractors cautious</td>
<td>When EPC becomes more competitive in Europe the US market should move in this direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>some developers are willing to accept exposure to these risks for higher returns.</td>
<td>with experienced players. The more risk EPC contractors can take the better for investors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Programme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contractor / Vessel Experience: Contract experienced teams and seek performance guarantees</td>
<td>A US EPC contractor could manage delivery in Europe and bring experience across Atlantic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Or a US project could partner with experienced European player.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion
This is really just the beginning

> Scale will only deliver positive economies if the market fundamentals are in place
> Increased competition in the European turbine market will take pressure of costs internationally
> Targeted technical risk taking is just business-as-usual, provided it’s well managed
> It is likely to be the same banks investing in US projects, so project risks must be packaged and presented in way that is recognisable and acceptable to them
> Experience is important to investors, particularly during construction, so if US companies do not play in European projects then European companies must be allowed into US projects
> The UK and Germany has shouldered the cost of marinising onshore wind, but there are huge opportunities for countries looking to drive forward a truly offshore industry
Offshore Wind – Lessons from Europe: Role of Policy

Cathryn Hooper, Source Low Carbon LLP

December 2013
Overview

- About Source Low Carbon LLP
- Role of Policy and Politics in Offshore Wind
- UK Policy and Lessons Learned
  - Site Award
  - Environment and Permitting
  - Support Mechanism
- Summary of Key Lessons
Introduction to Source Low Carbon

• Source Low Carbon LLP (‘Source’) is an offshore renewables development and advisory partnership.

• Formed in Q1 2013 by individuals who each have over a decade of experience in offshore wind.

• Two parts to our business:
  • Advisory Services
    • Development Services: supporting those developing projects
    • Project Assessment: working in collaboration to assess opportunities
  • Policy and Regulatory
  • Project Development
About Us

• Members of the original Mainstream team
• Offshore Strategy: bidding, development opportunities throughout Europe, North America
• Permits and Environment: managing and advising on issues across offshore portfolio
• Board member of OWDC.

• Senior Permits & Environment Manager: Walney extension, Burbo extension, Hornsea, Westermost Rough and Gunfleet demonstrator projects

• Management of Bidding Process, Strategy, Partnership to secure the Round 3 Hornsea zone
• Ongoing advice and support to the JV: strategy, planning, environment
• Advice in relation to the Project 1 acquisition and development (Dong Energy)

• Consent Manager for the 500MW Greater Gabbard Offshore Wind Farm

• Project Manager for the 7.2GW Round 2 tender process
Importance of Policy: Lessons Learned from UK
Introduction

- Policy creates the conditions that attracts capital into offshore wind.
- A positive policy environment has been the foundation of European offshore wind

- Policy risk (actual and perceived) and the influence of politics are the biggest issues facing UK offshore wind investors. Arguably the biggest stumbling blocks for the US too.

- Additional sources of debt and equity are needed in both markets – UK and US face many of the same challenges, seeking to attract many of the same investors.

- Policy: driven by the ‘local’ agenda
- Investors: similar requirements across jurisdictions
Introduction

• Policy drivers
  • ‘Supply’ perspective – easy to point to lots of reasons why both UK and US could have large offshore wind industries. Long coastline, shallow water, population density etc

  • ‘Demand’ perspective – Why is offshore wind needed?
    • UK – Energy security, decarbonisation (mandatory RE targets).
    • US – More difficult to articulate ‘hard’ motives; many ways in which US won’t/can’t go the same way as Europe. All the more important to provide policy clarity to investors.

• Key Policy Areas for comparison:
  • Site Award;
  • Environment, Permitting ("Consenting");
  • Support Mechanisms.
Site Award

- **UK:** competitive tender process, assessment of capability, commitment to deliver.
  - Development, financial, health and safety, organizational and other plans.
  - Little money changes hands upfront – recognizes the capital intensity of the development phase, avoid taking money out of projects early on.
  - Milestone obligations.
  - Relatively successful, open.

- **US:**
  - Extent to which auctions can use multiple criteria, be milestone backed?
  - Money off the table? Willingness of new investors to pay? Favoring incumbents?
  - Excluding innovative, small developers?
Environment and Permitting

• UK: Pace and scale of industry ambition/growth has driven regulatory requirements
  • Learning by doing – importance of collaboration.
  • Expensive, time consuming for developers. Bottleneck.
  • Relative certainty, transparency – new planning system in England and Wales.

• US:
  • The US system looks very promising: good planning, well resourced, transparent, applying lessons learned.
  • Not seen as so much of a bottleneck as for early stage UK - but yet to be fully tested.
Support Mechanisms

- US offshore wind competing for investment with other markets, technologies
- Attractive features for a supporting mechanism:
  - Clear Objectives: what is to be achieved, by when, for how much.
  - Coherent: with other policy areas – eg environment, planning, industrial policy.
  - Consistent: stable across economic and political cycle.
  - Simple, open for new entrants, investors.
  - Predictable, transparent: clear process to securing route to market.
  - Affordable – public acceptance.
  - Risk is allocated to those best able to manage it.
- Most, though not all, have been features of UK support policies for offshore wind and have helped nurture the industry.
- In addition:
  - Importance of targets.
  - Role of public investment.
UK Support Mechanism: The RO

**Renewables Obligation:**
- Driven by desire to establish the industry, reach MW targets.
- Mandated suppliers to source a growing percentage of electricity from renewables (15.4% in 2015/16).
- Largely fulfilled previous criteria and has been relatively successful - BUT
  - UK is still well short of its 2020 target - £110bn investment needed.
  - Cost to consumer has risen up the agenda.
  - Utility-dominated offshore wind sector.
- RO being phased out – Electricity Market Reform.
Electricity Market Reform (EMR) introduced 3 years ago. Became law this week.

Multiple, potentially conflicting objectives:

- “At the heart of our strategy is affordable energy security that meets our climate change responsibilities”
- “We need to attract the £110bn of private capital investment required in this decade.”
- “The investment that these reforms will unlock will help support up to 250,000 jobs..., and strengthen economic growth”
- “Investment will also lead to innovation and the development of competitive supply chains”

(from the October 2013 DECC consultation):
UK Electricity Market Reform

- EMR process has demonstrated the importance of policy certainty. Introduced fundamental, widespread questions over
  - Government’s long term commitment,
  - Exposure to political uncertainty, squabbling
  - Consultation and legislative process – many details still unresolved, stakeholder fatigue

- Not all projects in the development pipeline will get Contracts for Difference (CfDs).
- Changes risks and incentives associated with allocation, eligibility for CfDs
- Proposed projects being withdrawn, changing hands. Utilities publicly delaying investment decisions

- However, rationalisation is needed.
- EMR does set out to reduce investor risk and could create the conditions needed for new investment and cost reduction.
Lessons for US

• Policy process is vital for confidence
• Be realistic from the outset—scale, timelines, benefits of the industry to the economy/jobs. Inspires confidence.
  • Attrition hurts – high risk, high cost, front loaded expenditure.
• Go back to key policy principles -clear, consistent, coherent, appropriate risk allocation
  • Stop-start/indecision is our industry’s worst enemy.
  • EMR looks and feels very complex – much still to be resolved
• Importance of Co-operation:
  • Between States
  • Between industry and government
• Recognize that local conditions and policy drivers may be different, but pool of investors likely to be very similar.
• Focus on:
  • Avoiding mistakes of others, repeating successes where appropriate
  • Articulating objectives, setting and realizing positive but credible expectations
For further information:

Cathryn Hooper  cathryn.hooper@sourcelowcarbon.com
Thank you!

www.cleanegroup.org
www.cleanenergystates.org