

Clean Energy States Alliance Webinar

The Impact of Policies and Business Models on Income Equity in Rooftop Solar Adoption

December 3, 2020

Webinar Logistics



Join audio:

- Choose Mic & Speakers to use VoIP
- Choose Telephone and dial using the information provided

Use the orange arrow to open and close your control panel

Submit questions and comments via the Questions panel

This webinar is being recorded. We will email you a webinar recording within 48 hours. This webinar will be posted on CESA's website at www.cesa.org/webinars

CleanEnergy States Alliance



GOVERNOR'S
Energy Office



Maryland
Energy
Administration



NYSERDA



Department of Commerce
Innovation is in our nature.



Webinar Speakers



Galen Barbose

Research Scientist, Electricity
Markets and Policy
Department, Lawrence
Berkeley National Laboratory



Eric O'Shaughnessy

Renewable Energy
Research Consultant,
Clean Kilowatts LLC



Nate Hausman

Project Director,
Clean Energy States
Alliance (moderator)



The Impacts of Policies and Business Models on Income Equity in Rooftop Solar Adoption

Eric O'Shaughnessy^{1,2}, Galen Barbose¹, Ryan Wiser¹, Sydney Forrester¹, Naïm Darghouth¹

CESA Webinar, December 2020

¹ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

² Clean Kilowatts, LLC

Presentation based on paper published in *Nature Energy* of the same title

See: <https://emp.lbl.gov/publications/impact-policies-and-business-models>.

This work was funded by the U.S. Department of Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office, under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.



Summary

- Low- and moderate-income (LMI) households are less likely to adopt solar photovoltaics (PV) than higher-income households.
- PV adoption inequity may perpetuate energy justice issues and decelerate PV deployment.
- We explore the impacts of five policy and business model interventions on PV adoption equity.


Key findings:

Three of the five interventions are associated with more equitable PV adoption: LMI-targeted incentives, leasing, and property-assessed financing

The interventions increase adoption equity in existing markets (deepening the market) and push PV deployment into under-served low-income communities (broadening the market).

LBL Solar Demographics Tracking

- This presentation is part of a broader Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory effort to collect and analyze rooftop solar adopter demographic data.
- Additional resources, including an interactive tool and data, are available at: <https://emp.lbl.gov/projects/solar-demographics-trends-and-analysis>



Solar Adopter Income Trends

- High-income households have adopted rooftop PV at higher rates than LMI households.
- LMI adoption has steadily increased over time, increasing solar adoption equity.¹




Figure: Share of PV adopters earning less than county median income. Based on data from the LBL Solar Demographics Tool.

Solar PV Adoption Inequity

- High-income households remain about 4 times more likely to adopt PV than low-income households.
- PV adoption inequity is reinforced by deployment patterns that funnel systems into relatively affluent areas.




Figure: Share of PV adopters in zip codes above and below weighted median income. The line of equity illustrates where shares would fall if PV were distributed equitably.

The Problem

- **Energy justice:** PV adoption inequity could perpetuate energy justice issues.^{1,2}
- **Energy burden:** PV could reduce LMI energy burdens—the disproportionately large shares of LMI household budgets dedicated to energy expenses. PV adoption inequity limits LMI access to these benefits.
- **Cross-subsidization:** Under typical residential electricity rate structures, PV adoption by non-LMI households may increase LMI energy bills.¹
- **Decelerated deployment:** PV adoption inequity could decelerate PV deployment. About 42% of PV-viable rooftop space is on LMI buildings.³

Potential Solutions

- LMI households face several barriers to PV adoption, including cash constraints, lower home ownership rates, and language barriers.
- Certain policy and business model interventions may address these barriers and increase PV adoption equity.
- Here, we explore the impacts of five policy and business model interventions on PV adoption equity:

Incentives

Financial incentives available to all adopters

LMI Incentives

Incentives restricted to income-eligible adopters

Leasing

Business model allowing customers to lease rather than buy PV system*

PACE

Property-assessed clean energy financing

Solarize

Bulk PV purchasing campaign


Research Questions

Which interventions are associated with higher PV adoption equity?

Do these effects stem from increasing LMI PV adoption in existing markets (“deepening” markets) or by driving PV deployment into underserved LMI communities (“broadening” markets)?

Data

- Our study leverages Lawrence Berkeley Lab's *Tracking the Sun* (TTS) data set. Most of the TTS data are publicly available, see: <https://emp.lbl.gov/tracking-the-sun>.
- We combine the TTS data with modeled household-level income estimates from Experian.
- The final data set comprises 1,007,459 records on PV systems installed from 2010 to 2018 on single-family homes in 18 states.
- We use U.S. Census data to generate demographic variables for the general population.



Metrics

LMI Household

Household earning less than their county's median income

Adopter Income Bias

Difference between adopter's modeled income and their county's median income.

Low-Income Community

Zip code in the bottom quartile of median household incomes relative to other zip codes in the same state

LMI PV Adoption Rate

Number of LMI households that adopted PV in a given zip code in a given quarter per 1,000 owner-occupied LMI households

Methods

Analysis of Income Bias

We assess relationships between the interventions and adopter income bias through a fixed-effects regression.

Effects on LMI PV Adoption Rates

We test changes in LMI PV adoption rates before and after interventions were implemented.

See paper for methodological details

Analysis of Income Bias

- Three of the five interventions are associated with lower adopter income bias:
 - ▣ LMI incentives
 - ▣ Leasing
 - ▣ PACE
- These effects are robust to numerous alternative model specifications
- Incentives and Solarize were not associated with less income bias

Table: Regression Results – Analysis of Adopter Income Bias

	Y = Adopter Income Bias
Incentives	5.97*
LMI incentives	-47.20*
Leasing	-11.31*
PACE	-8.70*
Solarize	1.41

LMI Adopters Use the Interventions at Higher Rates




Figure: Share of adopters using interventions by household income as percentage of county median income

Effects on LMI PV Adoption Rates




Figure: LMI adoption rates by quarter in groups of zip codes that first used interventions in the same quarters (see paper for further clarity)

Effects on LMI PV Adoption Rates




Figure: Average group-time effects by intervention. Positive group-time effects represent higher LMI adoption rates. LMI incentives and leasing are associated with significant initial and lagged increases in PV adoption rates (see paper for further clarity).

Deployment Shifting

The data suggest that the interventions are used disproportionately in LMI communities, providing evidence that the interventions shift deployment into previously under-served communities.

4.7%

of adopters in

low-income communities receive

LMI Incentives

compared to

0.7%

in other areas

48.6%

of adopters in

low-income communities use

leasing

compared to

41.5%

in other areas

3.4%

of adopters in

low-income communities receive

PACE

compared to

3%

in other areas

Deployment Shifting






Figure: Predicted and actual LMI deployment levels in high- and low-income zip codes by intervention. In each case, LMI adoption rates of intervention-supported systems exceed projections in low-income zips, consistent with deployment shifting (see paper for further clarity)


Discussion: The Implications of Deployment Shifting



Traditional PV deployment patterns funnel PV systems into high-income neighborhoods



Interventions could create a "seed" adopter in an LMI neighborhood



By driving systems into LMI neighborhoods, interventions could catalyze spillover impacts from forces such as peer effects or by attracting more installers into LMI areas

Conclusions

Three of the five interventions are associated with more equitable PV adoption: LMI-targeted incentives, leasing, and property-assessed financing

The interventions increase adoption equity in existing markets (deepening the market) and also push PV deployment into underserved low-income communities (broadening the market).

Further Research

- Future research can explore how effectively more equitable PV adoption could address energy justice issues (e.g., energy burden) relative to other potential pathways.
- Future research can explore the potential spillover impacts associated with deployment shifting.
- Future research can explore other potential interventions, including interventions not designed specifically for rooftop PV, such as community solar.

Contacts

Eric O'Shaughnessy: EOShaughnessy@lbl.gov, (720) 381-4889

Galen Barbose: GLBarbose@lbl.gov

Ryan Wiser: RHWiser@lbl.gov

Sydney Forrester: SPForrester@lbl.gov

Naïm Darghouth: NDarghouth@lbl.gov

For more information

Download publications from the Electricity Markets & Policy Group: <https://emp.lbl.gov/publications>

Sign up for our email list: <https://emp.lbl.gov/mailling-list>

Follow the Electricity Markets & Policy Group on Twitter: @BerkeleyLabEMP

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the U.S. Department of Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office, under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.



References

Barbose et al. 2020. *Income Trends among U.S. Residential Rooftop Solar Adopters*. Berkeley, CA: LBNL.

Brown, M. et al. 2020. *Low-Income Energy Affordability: Conclusions from a Literature Review*. Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

Carley, S., D.M. Konisky. 2020. “The justice and equity implications of the clean energy transition.” *Nature Energy* 5:569-577.

O’Shaughnessy et al. 2020. “The impact of policies and business models on income equity in rooftop solar adoption.” *Nature Energy*.

Sigrin, B., M. Mooney. 2018. *Rooftop Solar Technical Potential for Low-to-Moderate Income Households in the United States*. Golden, CO: NREL.

Thank you for attending our webinar

Nate Hausman
Project Director, CESA
nate@cleanegroup.org

Visit www.cesa.org for more information and resources

Upcoming Webinars

Greenlink Equity Map: Visualizing Equity Issues to Build Stronger Climate Solutions

Tuesday, December 8, 2-3pm ET

FERC Order 2222: How it will level the playing field for distributed energy storage and other DERs

Thursday, December 10, 2-3pm ET

Using Overbuilding + Curtailment to Achieve 100% Clean Electricity

Tuesday, December 15, 3-4pm ET

Closing the Energy and Transportation Affordability Gap for Connecticut's Low- and Moderate-Income Households

Thursday, December 17, 1-2pm ET

Read more and register at: www.cesa.org/webinars

