## **CESA** Webinar

Enabling High Penetrations of Distributed Solar through the Optimization of Sub-Transmission Voltage Regulation

March 28, 2019



# Housekeeping

	File View Help
	- Audio
	OTelephone
	Mic & Speakers <u>Settings</u>
¥.	<b>≜</b> MUTED <b>4</b> 000000000
U	Questions
	<u>*</u>
	*
	[Enter a question for staff]
	v
	Send
	Webinar Housekeeping Webinar ID: 275-918-366
	<b>GoTo</b> Webinar

Join audio:

- Choose Mic & Speakers to use VoIP
- Choose Telephone and dial using the information provided

Use the orange arrow to open and close your control panel

Submit questions and comments via the Questions panel

This webinar is being recorded. We will email you a webinar recording within 48 hours. This webinar will be posted on CESA's website at <u>www.cesa.org/webinars</u>







www.cesa.org

# Multistate Initiative to Develop Solar in Locations that Provide Benefits to the Grid



The Clean Energy States Alliance (CESA) is working with five states and the District of Columbia to identify locations where solar and other DERs could increase the reliability and resilience of the electric grid.





Office of the People's Counsel District of Columbia Advocating, Protecting and Educating DC Consumers







**Department of Commerce** Innovation is in our nature.





Learn more at: <u>www.cesa.org/projects/locational-value-of-distributed-energy-resources</u>

# Webinar Speakers



#### Nader Samaan

Team Lead (Grid Analytics), Energy Infrastructure Group, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory <u>nader.samaan@pnnl.gov</u>





### Nate Hausman Project Director, Clean Energy States Alliance (moderator) nate@cleanegroup.org





Enabling High Penetration of Distributed PV via Optimization of Subtransmission Voltage Regulation

**Clean Energy States Alliance (CESA) Webinar** 

March 28, 2019



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy

## Nader Samaan, PhD, PE (PNNL)

Project Team: Prof Alex Huang (UT) Prof. Ning Lu (NCSU) Dr. Yazhou Jiang (GE), Dr. Greg Smedley (One Cycle Control) Mr. Brant Werts (Duke Energy)



## Challenges

- Voltage regulation at subtransmission impedes solar penetration.
- Regulation devices are uncoordinated, unable to cope independently with system net load changes.



## **Overview**

## **Solutions**

- Develop a Coordinated Real-time Sub-Transmission Volt-Var Control Tool (CReST-VCT):
  - autonomous and supervisory control via flexible algorithm
  - co-optimization of distribution and subtransmission scales
- Develop an Optimal Future Sub-Transmission Volt-Var Planning Tool (OFuST-VPT):
  - Determine the size and location of new reactive compensation equipment needed to integrate high penetration of photovoltaic (PV) generation.
  - Consider the coordination achieved by CReST-VCT.

## **Outcomes**

- High penetration of PV (100% of substation peak load, without violating voltage requirements)
  - Allow utilities to meet ANSI, IEEE, and NERC standards.
- Planning and operational support to utilities
  - Reduce interconnection approval time and cost.



## Challenges

- Voltage regulation at subtransmission impedes solar penetration.
- Regulation devices are uncoordinated, unable to cope independently with system net load changes.



## **Overview**

### **Solutions**

- Develop a Coordinated Real-time Sub-Transmission Volt-Var Control Tool (CReST-VCT):
  - autonomous and supervisory control via flexible algorithm
  - co-optimization of distribution and subtransmission scales
  - Develop an Optimal Future Sub-Transmission Volt-Var Planning Tool (OFuST-VPT):
    - Determine the size and location of new reactive compensation equipment needed to integrate high penetration of photovoltaic (PV) generation.
    - Consider the coordination achieved by CReST-VCT.

### **Outcomes**

- High penetration of PV (100% of substation peak load, without violating voltage requirements)
  - Allow utilities to meet ANSI, IEEE, and NERC standards.
- Planning and operational support to utilities
  - Reduce interconnection approval time and cost.



## Challenges

- Voltage regulation at subtransmission impedes solar penetration.
- Regulation devices are uncoordinated, unable to cope independently with system net load changes.



## **Overview**

## **Solutions**

- Develop a Coordinated Real-time Sub-Transmission Volt-Var Control Tool (CReST-VCT):
  - autonomous and supervisory control via flexible algorithm
  - co-optimization of distribution and subtransmission scales
- Develop an Optimal Future Sub-Transmission Volt-Var Planning Tool (OFuST-VPT):
  - Determine the size and location of new reactive compensation equipment needed to integrate high penetration of photovoltaic (PV) generation.
  - Consider the coordination achieved by CReST-VCT.

#### **Outcomes**

- High penetration of PV (100% of substation peak load, without violating voltage requirements)
  - Allow utilities to meet ANSI, IEEE, and NERC standards.
- Planning and operational support to utilities
  - Reduce interconnection approval time and cost.

4

#### **PNNL Study\* Showed Volt/Var Regulation Challenge at Subtransmission Level** Northwest

10

11



Under modest penetration of distributed PVs, controlling overvoltage becomes a challenge at the subtransmission level.

Pacific

• Voltage regulation challenges at subtransmission are a barrier to high penetration of PVs. Developers of new PV projects target interconnection to subtransmission to reduce interconnection cost.

\*Lu S, NA Samaan, D Meng, FS Chassin, Y Zhang, B Vyakaranam, WM Warwick, JC Fuller, R Diao, TB Nguyen, and C Jin. 2014. Duke Energy Photovoltaic Integration Study: Carolinas Service Areas. PNNL-23226, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA. http://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical\_reports/PNNL-22117.pdf



System voltage

magnitudes

proportionally

when the PV

increases

almost

outputs

increase

25

#### **Substation Voltage Profile Comparisons under** High PV Penetration (Low vs. High Load) Northwest



Pacific

Under low load condition and high PV penetration, there is a potential for overvoltage problems.



On/off status

seconds

minutes

hours

seasons

years

- Coordinated Real-time Sub-Transmission Volt-Var Control Tool (CReST-VCT)
- Optimal Future Sub-Transmission Volt-Var Planning Tool (OFuST-VPT) for short- and long-term planning

Key Milestone	es and Del	iverables

d		Year I	Stand-alone prototype of CReST-VCT
of		Year 2	Simulation demonstration of CReST- VCT and prototype of OFuST-VPT
Time	2	Year 3	Field demonstration of CReST-VCT, industry outreach, final report, and the codes for the two tools





## **Transmission AC Optimal Power Flow for Reactive Power Optimization**

- Objective function: minimize weighted sum of
  - load bus voltage deviation from target value
  - transmission losses
  - capacitor bank switching
  - curtailment of controllable distributed solar output
  - use of demand response

- Subject to
  - AC power flow balance on each bus
  - power plant scheduled real power, except on distributed slack
  - power plant scheduled voltage and reactive power limits
  - Ioad real and reactive power
  - distributed solar real power output
  - bounds on reactive power from distributed solar

- Output variables:
  - reactive power requirements from distributed PV at each substation
  - reactive power form capacitor banks at different substation
  - real/reactive power required from demand response
  - real power curtailment from PV

## Pacific Northwest



## Improved PV Inverter Active and Reactive Constraint Model



Pacific



 $Q_{\rm max} = k P_{\rm max}$ 

*k* is the **improved factor** for reactive power constraint, **1.1** for a normal **IGBT-based** PV inverter

- *k* should be adjusted based on power electronics devices and modulation method.
- The P/Q constraint is also dependent on the filter and DC capacitor design.
- During nighttime when *P* = 0, reactive power injection results in additional power losses that might become an economic constraint.
- Three different reactive power regulation modes can be provided by the inverter (constant Q, constant Power Factor, and volt-var). We are using constant Q that is obtained from the optimization engine.



# Duke Energy Generation Dispatch Simulation Pacific Northwest Approach

- Methodology
  - PNNL is leveraging from previous efforts performing solar integration studies for Duke Energy
  - Production cost simulations for an entire future year, with and without PV were used
     ✓ Hourly scheduling of generation resources using GenTrader software
    - ✓ Real-time (5 min) redispatch of peaking and Automatic Generation Control (AGC) units using ESIOS (PNNL tool)





- Start with a 2025 Eastern Interconnection base power flow case; build an island of the DEC transmission system.
  - Identify all tie-lines for each island.
- Use consistent import, export, generation, PV, and load assumptions with generation analysis.
- Aggregate distributed PV to the nearest substation on the transmission model.
- Run chronological AC power flow for the whole system and for the entire study year (8760 power flow cases).



## **Duke Energy Study Case System Summary**

#### • DEC/DEP System

- Maximum load of 39,114 MW
- Maximum PV output is 9,435 MW (24.1% of the peak load)
- PV installed capacity is 9,379 MW (24% of the peak load)
- This covers the two Duke Energy balancing authorities, DEC and Duke Energy Progress (DEP).
- We did the analysis for **DEC only**.

DEC Data			
No. of Buses	3,246		
No. of Generator Buses	194		
No. of Load Buses	2690		
Total Load	20,337 MW		
PV Generation	5,056 MW		
Total Conventional Generation	25,881 MW		



- Approximately 25% PV penetration was studied in the base PV cases. Projected PV locations in the Carolinas were based on existing systems and interconnection queue
- Projections of the size, technology, and locations of future distributed + utility-scale solar were made.
- High resolution (1 min or less) solar data was developed based on the selected reference weather model
- Simulated solar time series were developed at ZIP-code level and then aggregated at substations for generation and transmission analysis.



# Decomposition of Duke Carolinas Network Pacific Northwest (DEC) for Vol/Var Control



Zone XX1
Zone XX2
Zone XX2
Zone XX3
Zone XX4
Zone XX5
Zone XX6
Zone XX7

Zone XX8

- No. Buses = 3246
- No. PQ (demand) buses = 3203

Zone No.	No. Buses	Zone No.	No. Buses
XX1	384	XX5	388
XX2	369	XX6	460
XX3	394	XX7	354
XX4	480	XX8	329

- Network connectivity graph of Duke network
- Not to scale
- Not representative of geographical locations



## **Distribution Feeder Models**

# Feeder Model Conversion, Validation, and Data Preparation

- 10 Duke Energy feeders have been converted from CYME format and validated for OpenDSS.
- ✓ Voltages in OpenDSS are within 1% of voltages in CYME for all feeders.



# Pacific Northwest

#### Feeder Model Conversion, Validation, and Data Preparation

- Aggregated PV at the substation level has been allocated to 3 circuits for substation R and 2 circuits for substation G using
  - present locations of PV projects
  - future locations

Feeder Name	Number of utility scale PV	Utility scale PV capacity (kW)	Number of residential PV	Residential PV capacity (kW)	Total PV capacity (kW)
R 1201	3	3,157	0	0	3,157
R 1202	0	0	325	1,624	1,624
R 1203	1 (existing)	5,000	0	0	5,000
G 1202	1	5,000	0	0	5,000
G1203	1	5,000	665	4,825	9,825

## Voltage Profiles at Substation for a Winter Day (PV at Unity PF vs. PV Providing Reactive Power Support through CReST-VCT)



Aggregated reactive power from distributed PV (red line, lower graph) is able to maintain the target substation voltage (blue line, upper graph).

0.1

#### **Optimizing Distribution Voltage while Meeting Required Subtransmission Support** Northwest

Co-Optimization 1.10 **Reactive Power Compensation** 4000 Voltage PU 1.05 Reactive Power Injection (kVAR) 3000 1.00 2000 1000 0.95 0 20 5 10 15 Time (hours) -1000-2000 **Conventional Control** 1.10 -3000 Voltage PU -4000 1.05 10 15 20 5 n Time (Hours) L.00 Transmission Q Requirement PV + Capacitor Q 0.95 PV O Q Capability Region 10 15 20 Capacitor Q Time (hours) Median Voltage Range Middle 50% Voltage Limits

✓ Voltage-Load Sensitivity Matrix (VLSM) control algorithm successfully controls distribution system voltages.

Pacific

✓ VLSM control algorithm successfully meets transmission requirements for reactive power.



- Three hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) test systems have been developed to test the performance of
  - CReST-VCT developed at PNNL
  - Distribution voltage control based on PV control and demand response at NCSU
  - PV control with smart inverters at UT-Austin
- An integrated HIL test system have been developed using an Opal-RT facility at each site via a selected communication protocol.





After discussions between PNNL and Duke Energy regarding the Year 3 demonstration, the following options are currently being considered:

- A. PNNL will use historical operation data for Duke Energy system
  - Validate that our simulation model is able to calculate voltage profiles at different substations as observed from actual data.
  - Apply CReST-VCT and show how voltage profiles could be improved with PV inverters providing reactive support.
- B. PNNL will import Duke Energy day-ahead dispatch, load, and solar forecast data to perform the following:
  - Use CReST-VCT to predict hourly reactive power dispatch for a solar plant connected to one of the substations to meet a certain voltage profile.
  - The owner of the PV plant will apply these values in real time.
  - Actual measurements will be compared with day-ahead predicted values.



- A Coordinated Real-time Sub-Transmission Volt-Var Control Tool (CReST-VCT) has been developed to optimize the use of
  - reactive power control devices and
  - PV smart inverters.
- PV inverter models for active and reactive power regulation have been developed and validated.
- Preliminary results show volt/var optimization at subtransmission can be achieved by taking advantage of reactive power capabilities of distributed PV smart inverters.
- Voltage profiles are co-optimized on both subtransmission and distribution levels.
- The proposed tool would enable higher PV penetration without negative effects on the power grid.



- This study is funded by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) SunShot Initiative as part of the SunShot National Laboratory Multiyear Partnership (SuNLaMP).
- The project team wants to especially thank Mr. Jeremiah Miller, Dr. Guohui Yuan, and Dr. Kemal Celik from the Systems Integration Subprogram at DOE's SunShot Initiative for their continuing support, help, and guidance.



# **Questions?**

## Thanks!

#### **Contact Information**

Nader Samaan, Ph.D., P.E.

Sr. Power Systems Research Engineer Electricity Infrastructure Group Pacific Northwest National Laboratory P.O. Box 999, MSIN J4-90 Richland, WA 99352

Phone: (509) 375-2954 (W)

Email: <a href="mailto:nader.samaan@pnnl.gov">nader.samaan@pnnl.gov</a>

Project publications: <u>https://www.researchgate.net/project/Enabling-high-penetration-of-distributed-PV-through-the-optimization-of-sub-transmission-voltage-regulation</u>

# Thank you for attending our webinar

Nate Hausman CESA Project Director nate@cleanegroup.org

Find us online:

www.cesa.org

facebook.com/cleanenergystates

@CESA\_news on Twitter



# **Upcoming Webinars**

**Energy Storage in State Energy Efficiency Plans: Lessons from Massachusetts** *Thursday, April 4, 1-2pm ET* 

**Net Energy Metering, Distributed Solar Valuation, and Rate Design** *Tuesday, April 9, 1-2pm ET* 

Read more and register at: <u>www.cesa.org/webinars</u>

