

Principles and Policies for Low and Moderate-Income Solar Part 7:

Solar+Storage for LMI Communities

Presenter: Todd Olinsky-Paul, CESA

Moderator: Nate Hausman, CESA

November 17, 2017

This content is provided to assist teams participating in the Solar in Your Community Challenge, a prize program sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office (SETO). This content is free for general public use.

Learning Objectives

- To understand what energy storage is, and how it works with solar PV
- To understand the major benefits of solar+storage for LMI communities
- To understand the economics of solar+storage behind the meter



What Is Solar+Storage?

Solar+storage: a marriage of two clean energy technologies, solar PV and battery storage, capable of providing unique benefits that cannot be achieved by the use of either solar or storage alone.



Why Solar+Storage for LMI Communities?

- 1. Extreme weather and associated grid outages disproportionately harm LMI communities
- Extreme weather and associated electric grid outages are becoming more frequent and more severe
- LMI communities may lack resources income, savings, insurance, transportation, communication channels & information – making them less resilient after severe weather
- The elderly and disabled are particularly vulnerable to grid outages. Often, they rely on elevators, refrigerators (for medicine), electronic medical devices, and electronic communications devices – all of which need electricity to function
- It is frequently safer for people to shelter in place, than to be evacuated to shelters



Why Solar+Storage for LMI Communities?

2. Energy Costs Disproportionately Burden LMI Communities

- LMI customers, on average, pay a larger portion of their income for energy
- Solar PV can provide energy cost savings, but adding battery storage can in some cases provide greater savings than solar alone, resulting in a shorter payback period
- The largest opportunity for cost savings from adding storage at present is in demand charge management at commercial facilities

Lifting the High Energy Burden in America's Largest Cities: How Energy Efficiency Can Improve Low Income and Underserved Communities Ariel Drehobl and Lauren Ross

Cost Savings: Demand Charge Management for Commercial Facilities

Commercial facilities includes:

- Multifamily affordable housing
- Municipal facilities
- Schools
- Churches
- Critical facilities (shelters, medical, fire/police/ambulance, supermarkets, fueling stations, communications, transportation, water treatment)

For commercial customers, **30% - 70%** of their monthly electric bill may be demand charges

SDG1 Annual Electric Bill ENERGY Usage Cost Total cost (\$) (kWh) (\$/kWh) 13,085 0.11447 Max Summer 1.497.82 Winter 7,827 0.10565 826.97 15,259 0.10568 1,612.59 Peak Summer Winter 35,189 0.09132 3.213.46 26,959 0.07920 Part-Peak Summer 2,135.17 Winter 46,612 0.07160 3,337.42 TOTAL 144,932 \$12,623.43 DEMAND Total cost (\$) Avg peak Cost (kW) (\$/kW) Max Summer 33 22.552,958.56 Winter 30 22.55 5.195.52 19.19 Peak Summer 33 2,517.73 6.86 Winter 24 1,279,49 Part-Peak Summer 30 0.00 0.00 Winter 0.00 0.00 30 TOTAL \$11,951.30 FIXED Total cost (\$) Meter charge 1.397.28 TOTAL \$1,397.28 TOTAL ANNUAL BILL \$25,972.01

How Storage Manages Demand Charges



Peak reduced from 100 kW to 65kW = **35 kW** reduction

Savings depend on **cost of demand**

Demand charges @ \$10/kW = **\$4,200 annual savings** Demand charges @ \$20/kW = **\$8,400 annual savings**

Generally, commercial customers paying **\$15/kW or more** in demand charges may be able to install batteries economically for demand charge management (without subsidies). *Resilience should be free.*

Economic Case Study: Edwards D. Hassan Apartments, Hyde Park, MA

- Boston Housing Authority affordable senior housing facility
- 100 apartments
- Electric heating
- Common areas include kitchen, four laundry facilities, common room, 2 elevators
- ~60 kVA diesel generator for backup power
- Analysis of solar vs solar+storage system for DCM and resiliency



System modeled:

- Solar: 150 kW DC (cost: \$375,000)
- Storage: 30 kW/45 kWh L/I battery (cost: \$88,604)
- Total capital cost: \$463,604



Baseline Facility Load





Seasonal load profile

Electric heat = high winter peak loads

Baseline Utility Bill (1 year)

Analysis is on common loads only – not individual apartment loads

Baseline utility bill ENERGY baseline (T2) Usage, kWh Cost, \$/kWh Total Cost, \$ \$0.0925 \$6,678 Peak Summer 72,196 489,413 \$0.0925 \$45,271 Winter Part-peak Summer \$0.0000 **\$**0 Winter \$0.0000 \$0 \$0.0925 \$16,369 Off-peak Summer 176,967 Winter 773.548 \$0.0925 \$71,553 TOTAL, /yr 1,512,124 \$139,871 Energy DEMAND Avg Peak, kW Cost, \$/kW Total Cost, \$ Max Summer 153 \$29.80 \$18,221 352 \$21.35 \$60,096 Winter Peak 0 \$0.00 \$O Summer \$0.00 0 \$0 Winter Part-Peak 0 \$0.00 \$O Summer Winter 0 \$0.00 \$O \$78,317 TOTAL, /yr Demand Meter Charge, \$/yr \$2,000 TOTAL, \$/yr \$220,188

Hassan Apartments Payback Comparison

	_	_	_		_	Year 1 savings				
	Size	Capital cost	Federal ITC	Depreciation	Net cost	Energy charge	Demar charge		Estimated payback	
Solar system	150 kW PV	\$375,000	\$112,500	\$144,713	\$117,787	\$18,204	\$5,3	74	5.7 years]
Energy Storage system	30 kW/45 kWh battery	\$88,604	\$26,581	\$34,192	\$27,831	\$0	\$7,64	45	4.4 years	
Combined system	150 kW PV + 30 kW/45 kWh battery	\$463,604	\$139,081	\$178,905	\$145,618	\$18,204	\$13,01	19	5.3 years	

Storage payback = 4.4 years Solar+Storage payback = 5.3 years Solar alone payback = 5.7 years

What the analysis includes:

- Federal ITC applied to solar+storage installed costs (scheduled to phase out)
- Federal accelerated depreciation

What it doesn't include:

- State solar incentives (and proposed storage adders)
- Income from Alternative Energy Certificates
- Other market programs (demand response)

Three City Analysis: The Economic Impact of Adding Storage

Chicago Project Summary						
System Size	200-kW solar-only	200-kW solar +100-kW/ 50-kWh lithium-ion battery	200-kW solar + 300-kW/ 150-kWh lithium-ion battery			
Initial Cost*	\$493,000	\$606,000	\$832,000			
Payback Period	20+ years	11.8 years	6.2 years			

* Initial project costs refer to year zero net project expenses after federal tax credits and any additional tax credits have been applied.

Washington, D.C. Project Summary				
System Size	360-kW solar-only	360-kW solar +100-kW/ 50-kWh lithium-ion battery		
Initial Cost	\$788,000	\$901,000		
Payback Period	3.5 years	3.5 years		

New York City Project Summary						
System Size	30-kW solar-only	30-kW solar + 30-kW/ 60-kWh lead-acid battery				
Initial Cost	\$58,000	\$128,000				
Payback Period	4.3 years	14.2 years				

What makes the difference?

- Energy costs
- Demand charge rates
- State incentives
- Regulatory requirements

First National Survey of Demand Charge Rates

Identifying Potential Markets for Behind-the-Meter Battery Energy Storage: A Survey of U.S. Demand Charges

- Based on a survey of more than 10,000 utility tariffs
- Applies to approximately 70% of commercial buildings in the United States
- Result: Nearly 5 million commercial customers may be paying more than \$15/kW in demand charges



Identifying Potential Markets for Behind-the-Meter Battery Energy Storage: A Survey of U.S. Demand Charges

SUMMARY

This paper presents the first publicly available comprehensive survey of the magnitude of demand charges for commercial customers across the United States—a key predictor of the financial performance of behind-the-stater battery storage systems. Notably, it is estimated that there are nearly 5 million commercial customers in the United States who can subscribe to retail electricity tariffs the have demand charges in excess of \$15 per kilowatt (kW), over a quarter of the 18 million commercial customers in total in the United States.¹ While the economic visibility of installing battery energy storage must be determined on a case-by-case basis, high demand charges are often cited as a critical factor in battery project economics.¹ Increasing use of demand charges in utility tariffs and subcipated future declines in storage costs may also serve to unlock additional markets and strengthen existing ones.





Figure 1. Number of commercial electricity customers who can subscribe to tariffs with demand charges in excess of \$15/kW.

Darker areas on map = more customers paying high demand charges



See <u>www.resilient-power.org</u> for reports, newsletters, webinar recordings and other solar+storage resources



Thank you for attending our webinar

Todd Olinsky-Paul Project Director, CESA todd@cleanegroup.org Nate Hausman Project Director, CESA <u>nate@cleanegroup.org</u>

Webinar instructors will be available for one-on-one consultations with Challenge participants through **virtual office hours**. To schedule a consultation, contact Diana Chace at <u>diana@cleanegroup.org</u>

Slides and recordings from this webinar series are available on CESA's website at: www.cesa.org/projects/sustainable-solar/solar-in-your-community-challenge-webinar-series



www.cesa.org